**Minutes of Meeting**

IS203 Software Engineering **|** G7-T5 (SEvivor)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date:** | 24 October 2016, Monday |
| **Time:** | 5.15 pm - 5.45pm |
| **Venue:** | SIS MR 4-7 |
| **Scriber:** | Nilofar |
| **Attendees:** | Kong Yu Jian, Jackson Kwa, Marc Xu, Teh Ming Yi, M Has Nilofar |
|  |  |
| **Agenda:** | 1. Progress for the past weeks 2. Deviations 3. Goals 4. Problems 5. Roles 6. Metrics 7. Demo |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Agenda** | **Action By** | **Due Date** |
| 1 | All functions done | Everyone |  |
| 2 | Iteration 2 Schedule Metrics - planned vs Actual (8 and 18.5 - why the huge difference) | Ming Yi |  |
| 3 | Demo   * admin bootstrap * Some functions take some time - may be due to connectivity issues or inefficient codes – will need to look into it | Ming Yi |  |
| 4 | Regression testing   * show different columns for different iterations (youngki said) * we have different sheets to show the different iterations * clear round 2: 10+ cases; with alphabetical parts; need more corner cases * insert the sample error data zip folder in the testing folder * bootstrap: need more test cases * can add more test cases |  |  |
| 5 | Commits by author   * everybody using the GIT consistently | Ming Yi |  |
| 6 | Schedule   * final regression testing on 28th (prof’s que) * testing on 27th and last planned debugging session on 28th |  |  |
| 7 | Coding hours   * pplog not quite right; used Ming Yi’s excel for timing instead * YJ’s no. of hours is high cos he will be the next PM so the no. of hours is still high to make up for the loss in the next iteration * Do we have proper time to catch up? Got more time on debugging session and regression testing; some codes written unoptimally -- if have more time, can refine the codes for the app to run faster * Ming Yi and Marc have the least number of coding hours – Jackson has higher numbers because the upcoming iteration he may be busy due to his business |  |  |
| 8 | Code sharing:   * Nilo: Interesting functionality that you have done and go through the code * Jackson: Clear round 1 or 2, go through the logic * Round 2 * one course, 2 vacancies, one student places a bid with $9 - not successful cos min $10 * next student places a bid at $10 - successful; new min bidding price: 10 (cos the number of vacancies is more than the bid and the vacancies still remains 2) * one more with $10 bids - what is the min bid amount: $11 (but both bids successful) * number of bids < vacancies * number of bids >= vacancies * Round 1 |  |  |
| 9 | Do the regression testing and debugging soon |  |  |
| 10 | While preparing for UAT, add in more test cases. Right now our test cases are insufficient. |  |  |

The meeting was adjourned at  5.45pm. These minutes will be circulated and adopted if there are no amendments reported in the next three days.

**Prepared by,**

Nilofar

**Vetted and edited by,**

Ming Yi